

Message

From: Greene, Nikia [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=32A08A414A4F40199B557C0819EB7D0B-GREENE, NIKIA]
Sent: 6/17/2019 12:22:08 PM
To: Shanight, David [ShanightDT@cdmsmith.com]; Chapin Storrar [storrarcs@cdmsmith.com]; Curt Coover [cooverca@cdmsmith.com]
Subject: RE: BPSOU Boundary Adjustment

I don't think we want to push the envelope here. We originally proposed the smaller area and they have expanded it too at least the infrastructure.

Nikia Greene
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA, Region 8
(406)-457-5019
green.nikia@epa.gov

From: Shanight, David <ShanightDT@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2019 11:06 PM
To: Chapin Storrar <storrarcs@cdmsmith.com>; Curt Coover <cooverca@cdmsmith.com>; Greene, Nikia <Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: BPSOU Boundary Adjustment

I think that we discussed including more area to the east to account for the potentially high groundwater levels. Knowing that we may have near surface groundwater, we may need to consider a larger area, even if we are only trying to construct a 1-acre basin. Hard to tell without AR's recent groundwater data.

From: Storrar, Chapin S. <storrarcs@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2019 9:47 AM
To: Coover, Curt <CooverCA@cdmsmith.com>; Greene, Nikia <Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>; Shanight, David <ShanightDT@cdmsmith.com>
Subject: RE: BPSOU Boundary Adjustment

I agree. This looks like what we all talked about previously. Although, I remember there was some conversation about whether we should include the discharge from the wetland area north of the interstate within the boundary. I think it is a comment Jim Ford had made. I can't remember where we settled on that, and not sure why it really matters if the water is flowing into BPSOU regardless. But this looks like they purposely included this discharge point in the boundary.

Chapin

From: Coover, Curt <CooverCA@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2019 5:03 PM
To: Greene, Nikia <Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>; Shanight, David <ShanightDT@cdmsmith.com>; Storrar, Chapin S. <storrarcs@cdmsmith.com>
Subject: RE: BPSOU Boundary Adjustment

I think that is what we have talked about.

From: Greene, Nikia <Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2019 4:44 PM
To: Shanight, David <ShanightDT@cdmsmith.com>; Storrar, Chapin S. <storrarcs@cdmsmith.com>; Coover, Curt

<CooverCA@cdmsmith.com>

Subject: FW: BPSOU Boundary Adjustment

This looks good to me? What do you guys think?

Nikia Greene
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA, Region 8
(406)-457-5019
greenenikia@epa.gov

From: Bryson, Josh <josh.bryson@bp.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2019 4:42 PM

To: Greene, Nikia <Greene.Nikia@epa.gov>; Daryl Reed (dreed@mt.gov) <dreed@mt.gov>; Hassler, Eric <ehassler@bsb.mt.gov>; Jim Ford <JFord@mt.gov>

Subject: BPSOU Boundary Adjustment

I believe this is representative of what we discussed several weeks ago, that would capture the requested changes at Grove Gulch and the inlet from the north to BTC. Near the mine, we just propose the boundary match the active permit area. Thanks.



Josh Bryson, PE, PMP
Operations Project Manager
Remediation Management Services Company
An Affiliate of **Atlantic Richfield Company**

406-723-1834 (Office)
406-565-7164 (Mobile)